

Enginuity 2013 Competition Review of the Performance of "Atwins"

The Task

A fledgling UK-based construction company, with headquarters in London, had been trading for just one year. A new management team was needed to run the company for the foreseeable future, making decisions related to the key functions of the business for each period/quarter.

The first task facing the new management team was to form a business strategy to satisfy the high demands of the company's shareholders, who were keen to see a quick return on their investment.

Although based in the UK, and subject to UK tax laws and interest rates, the decision to expand into overseas markets, and different continents, would depend upon the prevailing economic climate in the UK, and worldwide, and the direction the new management team wanted to go in.

Working in different countries would present some particular risks and challenges to overcome, such as client restrictions, global competitors and environmental problems (extreme weather conditions, civil unrest, local labour problems etc). However, the potential rewards and opportunities of operating globally (worldwide client base, niche markets, lucrative large-scale contracts), may outweigh the risks.

Timeframe

The company had already been operating for periods 1 to 4, known as the History year, before the new management team took control.

The new management team were in charge for two different stages.

The Early Years (periods 5-12)

During the Early Years the competition during the procurement process came from simulated companies, based in particular countries, who could tender for work in their own country only, or worldwide.

Each rival company had their own unique profile and tendering history, which had to be carefully assessed in order to formulate an effective procurement strategy for competing against them.

The Later Years (periods 13-18)

During the Later Years the competition during the procurement process came from the other 'human' teams in the competition. There was also competition for the services of project managers.

This created an even more uncertain and pressurised environment in which the skills and team dynamics formed in the early years were really put to the test.





Key Performance Indicators

Performance indicators were used to measure the progress of the company in a number of key areas.

The indicators were weighted at the start of the early years to reflect their variability, initially to a 1,000 points

Overall company performance improved from 1,000 to 3,500 points

| | | Gross | Operating | | | | | | | | |
|--------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|-------|-----------|--------------|
| | | Profit | Profit | | | | | | | | |
| | | То | То | Company | Capital | Contract | Forward | Forward | Share | Client | Total |
| Period | Turnover | Turnover | Turnover | Value | Employed | Complete | Workload | Margin | Price | Satisfact | Rating |
| 4 | 40 | 130 | 130 | 170 | 120 | 100 | 70 | 100 | 70 | 70 | 1,000 |
| 5 | 47 | 149 | 164 | 185 | 131 | 135 | 93 | 154 | 74 | 104 | 1,236 |
| 6 | 54 | 175 | 203 | 204 | 140 | 140 | 101 | 195 | 85 | 139 | 1,436 |
| 7 | 63 | 210 | 263 | 240 | 146 | 160 | 95 | 196 | 105 | 176 | 1,654 |
| 8 | 69 | 223 | 286 | 266 | 149 | 205 | 100 | 197 | 125 | 220 | 1,840 |
| 9 | 71 | 250 | 321 | 299 | 152 | 225 | 123 | 222 | 149 | 258 | 2,070 |
| 10 | 76 | 263 | 340 | 331 | 155 | 240 | 123 | 215 | 176 | 302 | 2,221 |
| 11 | 83 | 274 | 358 | 371 | 158 | 295 | 112 | 186 | 209 | 340 | 2,386 |
| 12 | 86 | 285 | 374 | 405 | 159 | 345 | 131 | 246 | 259 | 384 | 2,674 |
| 13 | 89 | 303 | 402 | 441 | 161 | 345 | 138 | 203 | 309 | 417 | 2,808 |
| 14 | 92 | 308 | 411 | 456 | 161 | 380 | 141 | 203 | 356 | 450 | 2,958 |
| 15 | 95 | 319 | 426 | 475 | 162 | 405 | 151 | 191 | 411 | 497 | 3,132 |
| 16 | 99 | 322 | 433 | 486 | 163 | 430 | 159 | 213 | 452 | 542 | 3,299 |
| 17 | 102 | 318 | 429 | 477 | 164 | 465 | 171 | 178 | 457 | 586 | 3,347 |
| 18 | 105 | 317 | 430 | 475 | 165 | 515 | 196 | 199 | 476 | 622 | 3,500 |



Turnover:An indication of how much work the company has doneGross Profit to Turnover:A measure of how profitable the company's jobs have beenOperating Profit to Turnover:A measure of how profitable the company is after considering all operating factorsCompany Value:A measure of the asset value of the companyCapital Employed:A measure of how well the company's infrastructure is being utilisedContract Completion:An indication of how successful the company is in completing contractsForward Workload:The remaining turnover (value) of jobs still in progressForward Margin:The remaining profit of jobs still in progressShare Price:A measure of the strength of the company's share price

Client Satisfaction: An indication of the strength of the relationship build up with the company's clients

Performance Summary

| Number of periods: | <u> </u> | Since 14 | Change | <u>Basis</u> | |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|--------|--------------|---|
| | · | | | | |
| Financial Management | | | | | |
| Company value: | 5,058,013 | 14,127,030 | 179 % | Е | |
| Share price: | 1.11 | 7.55 | 580 % | Е | |
| Capital employed: | 88 % | 97 % | | А | |
| Turnover: | 14,935,390 | 23,075,190 | 55 % | А | |
| Job profit: | 4.3 % | 11.8 % | | D | % of job cost |
| Overhead costs: | 1.8 % | 1.7 % | | D | % of job cost |
| Operating profit: | 1.9 % | 7.3 % | | D | % of job cost; net, after tax and interest |
| Dividend paid: | 1.4 % | 3.9 % | | D | % of job cost |
| Overhead Management | | | | | |
| Market share: | 12 % | 33 % | | D | % of the overall new work in the market identified |
| Market share split (UK): | 59 % | 43 % | | D | % of the market share in the UK |
| Market share split (OV): | 41 % | 57 % | | D | % of market share Overseas (outside the UK) |
| Head office staffing level: | 100 % | 211 % | | D | The benchmark was 100%; the optimum level was 200% |
| QHSE staffing level: | 100 % | 214 % | | D | The benchmark was 100%; the optimum level was 200% |
| Measurement staffing level: | 100 % | 214 % | | D | The benchmark was 100%; the optimum level was 200% |
| | | | | | |
| Procurement | 10 | (2) | | D | |
| Number of jobs bid for: | 18 | 63 | | D | |
| Number of jobs won: | 7 | 37 | | D | |
| Bidding success rate: | 39 % | 59 % | | D | Jobs won as a % of jobs bid for |
| Job Progression | | | | | |
| Reduction in job costs: | 0.00 % | 2.95 % | | D | % due to targeted investments (build cost reductions) |
| Reduction in job costs: | 0.00 % | 0.10 % | | D | % due to targeted investments (risk cost reductions) |
| Risk cost incurred: | 25 % | 60 % | | D | As a % of the contingency in the bid |
| Project manager weighting: | 7.6 | 9.6 | | D | Out of 10 |
| Jobs finished early: | 2 | 23 | | D | |
| Jobs finished on time: | 0 | 12 | | D | |
| Jobs finished late: | 0 | 0 | | D | |
| Job completion efficiency: | 0.98 | 0.91 | | D | 0 to 1 where 1 the optimum level |
| Forward workload: | 24,933,920 | 69,771,230 | | Е | |
| Forward margin: | 883,296 | 1,760,153 | | E | |

Colour coding

Green background indicates exceptional performance in this area Red background indicates a weakness in this area

Basis

The basis for setting each measure was :-

- E (At the end of the timeframe)
- A (An average for each period of the timeframe)
- **D** (The value during the whole timerame)