## Review of the Performance of "Pbers"

## The Task

A fledgling UK-based construction company, with headquarters in London, had been trading for just one year. A new management team was needed to run the company for the foreseeable future, making decisions related to the key functions of the business for each period/quarter.

The first task facing the new management team was to form a business strategy to satisfy the high demands of the company's shareholders, who were keen to see a quick return on their investment.

Although based in the UK, and subject to UK tax laws and interest rates, the decision to expand into overseas markets, and different continents, would depend upon the prevailing economic climate in the UK, and worldwide, and the direction the new management team wanted to go in.


Working in different countries would present some particular risks and challenges to overcome, such as client restrictions, global competitors and environmental problems (extreme weather conditions, civil unrest, local labour problems etc). However, the potential rewards and opportunities of operating globally (worldwide client base, niche markets, lucrative large-scale contracts), may outweigh the risks.

## Timeframe

The company had already been operating for periods 1 to 4, known as the History year, before the new management team took control.

The new management team were in charge for two different stages.

## The Early Years (periods 5-12)

During the Early Years the competition during the procurement process came from simulated companies, based in particular countries, who could tender for work in their own country only, or worldwide.

Each rival company had their own unique profile and tendering history, which had to be carefully assessed in order to formulate an effective procurement strategy for competing against them.

## The Later Years (periods 13-18)

During the Later Years the competition during the procurement process came from the other 'human' teams in the competition. There was also competition for the services of project managers.

This created an even more uncertain and pressurised environment in which the skills and team dynamics formed in the early years were really put to the test.

## Key Performance Indicators

Performance indicators were used to measure the progress of the company in a number of key areas.

The indicators were weighted at the start of the early years to reflect their variability, initially to a 1,000 points
Overall company performance improved from 1,000 to 3,790 points

|  |  | Gross | Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Turnover | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Profit } \\ \text { To } \end{array}$ <br> Turnover | Profit To <br> Turnover | Company <br> Value | Capital Employed | Contract <br> Complete | Forward Workload | Forward <br> Margin | Share <br> Price | Client Satisfact | Total <br> Rating |
| 4 | 40 | 130 | 130 | 170 | 120 | 100 | 70 | 100 | 70 | 70 | 1,000 |
| 5 | 46 | 163 | 179 | 184 | 123 | 135 | 63 | 106 | 81 | 103 | 1,183 |
| 6 | 51 | 190 | 219 | 203 | 133 | 140 | 108 | 195 | 105 | 128 | 1,472 |
| 7 | 58 | 222 | 272 | 232 | 139 | 175 | 104 | 208 | 125 | 170 | 1,705 |
| 8 | 63 | 251 | 320 | 267 | 144 | 175 | 109 | 193 | 152 | 202 | 1,876 |
| 9 | 68 | 263 | 337 | 294 | 148 | 240 | 110 | 221 | 183 | 244 | 2,108 |
| 10 | 73 | 272 | 348 | 316 | 151 | 270 | 118 | 206 | 214 | 278 | 2,246 |
| 11 | 79 | 288 | 372 | 353 | 152 | 300 | 107 | 157 | 260 | 317 | 2,385 |
| 12 | 82 | 295 | 382 | 370 | 155 | 335 | 137 | 235 | 324 | 353 | 2,668 |
| 13 | 86 | 301 | 392 | 384 | 156 | 365 | 136 | 220 | 365 | 396 | 2,801 |
| 14 | 90 | 311 | 411 | 406 | 158 | 380 | 144 | 159 | 400 | 428 | 2,887 |
| 15 | 94 | 312 | 416 | 466 | 159 | 440 | 145 | 146 | 438 | 465 | 3,081 |
| 16 | 97 | 313 | 416 | 516 | 159 | 475 | 148 | 141 | 481 | 501 | 3,247 |
| 17 | 100 | 315 | 422 | 579 | 158 | 490 | 117 | 145 | 539 | 533 | 3,398 |
| 18 | 101 | 317 | 426 | 631 | 159 | 530 | 201 | 221 | 634 | 570 | 3,790 |



Turnover: An indication of how much work the company has done
Gross Profit to Turnover: A measure of how profitable the company's jobs have been
Operating Profit to Turnover: A measure of how profitable the company is after considering all operating factors
Company Value: A measure of the asset value of the company
Capital Employed: A measure of how well the company's infrastructure is being utilised
Contract Completion: An indication of how successful the company is in completing contracts
Forward Workload: The remaining turnover (value) of jobs still in progress
Forward Margin: The remaining profit of jobs still in progress
Share Price: A measure of the strength of the company's share price
Client Satisfaction: An indication of the strength of the relationship build up with the company's clients

| Financial Management |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Company value: | $5,058,013$ | $18,769,810$ | $271 \%$ | E |
| Share price: | 1.11 | 10.06 | $806 \%$ | E |
| Capital employed: | $88 \%$ | $93 \%$ |  | A |
| Turnover: | $14,935,390$ | $22,210,340$ | $49 \%$ | A |
| Job profit: | $4.3 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |  | D |
| Overhead costs: | $1.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | D | $\%$ of job cost job cost |
| Operating profit: | $1.9 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | D | $\%$ of job cost; net, after tax and interest |
| Dividend paid: | $1.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | D | $\%$ of job cost |

Number of period
History
$\quad$ Since
14

Financial Management

## Overhead Management

Market share:
Market share split (UK):
Market share split (OV):
Head office staffing level: QHSE staffing level:
Measurement staffing level:

## Procurement

Number of jobs bid for: Number of jobs won: Bidding success rate:

| Company value: | $5,058,013$ | $18,769,810$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Share price: | 1.11 | 10.06 |
| Capital employed: | $88 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Turnover: | $14,935,390$ | $22,210,340$ |
| Job profit: | $4.3 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| Overhead costs: | $1.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Operating profit: | $1.9 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| Dividend paid: | $1.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| Overhead Management |  |  |
| Market share: |  |  |
| Market share split (UK): | $59 \%$ |  |
| Market share split (OV): | $41 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Head office staffing level: | $100 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| QHSE staffing level: | $100 \%$ | $211 \%$ |
| Measurement staffing level: | $100 \%$ | $217 \%$ |

Job Progression

| Reduction in job costs: |
| ---: |
| Reduction in job costs: |
| Risk cost incurred: |
| Project manager weighting: |
| Jobs finished early: |
| Jobs finished on time: |
| Jobs finished late: |
| Job completion efficiency: |

Forward workload:
Forward margin:

| $0.00 \%$ | $2.36 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $0.00 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ |
| $25 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| 7.6 | 9.3 |
| 2 | 27 |
| 0 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0.98 | 0.96 |


| $24,933,920$ | $71,735,740$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 883,296 | $1,950,813$ |

D $\%$ of the overall new work in the market identified
D $\%$ of the market share in the UK
D $\%$ of market share Overseas (outside the UK)
D The benchmark was $100 \%$; the optimum level was $200 \%$
D The benchmark was $100 \%$; the optimum level was $200 \%$
D The benchmark was $100 \%$; the optimum level was $200 \%$

D
D
D Jobs won as a \% of jobs bid for
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { D } & \text { \% due to targeted investments (build cost reductions) } \\ \text { D } & \text { \% due to targeted investments (risk cost reductions) } \\ \text { D } & \text { As a \% of the contingency in the bid } \\ \text { D } & \text { Out of } 10 \\ \text { D } & \\ \text { D } & \\ \text { D } & \\ \text { D } & 0 \text { to } 1 \text { where } 1 \text { the optimum level }\end{array}$

E
E

## Colour coding

Green background indicates exceptional performance in this area
Red background indicates a weakness in this area

## Basis

The basis for setting each measure was :-
E (At the end of the timeframe)
A (An average for each period of the timeframe)
D (The value during the whole timerame)

