ENGINUITY TUTORIAL



Choosing Between Using Own Or Subcontract Labour

Copyright Virtual Management Simulations



In progressing jobs it is always more cost-effective to use the company's own fully trained labourers that are available.

However, if there **is an overall labour shortfall** that the Construction Manager needs to address, two options are available :-

- Take-on new recruits, adding to the company's own labour
- Use subcontractors

But which option is now the most cost effective ?





NEW RECRUITS

Each new recruit that is taken on into the company's own workforce has to be trained in their first period with the company. This is a one-off cost allocated to the job they are placed on.

The training is assumed to take place where the company is based, and does not vary between countries. Hence, no matter which job a new recruit is allocated to the training cost is the same.

The training cost for each new recruit is shown in the **Industry parameters**, and is 2,500.

эd



👹 Industry parameters		Navigate	e to "Main menu/Industry information/Industry parameters"							
FINANCE OV	ERHEADS	PROCUREMENT	T JOB PROGRESSION							
PROJECT MANAGERS	Paying off costs: 20) % annual salary) % annual salary 6 % annual salary								
OVERMANNING LIMITS	Sector	Effective labour above the planne								
	Industrial	35 %								
	Building & Commercial	35 %								
	Transport	45 %								
	Energy	18 %								
	Water & Sewage	25 %								
OWN LABOUR	New recruit: Training cost for each n Labour p Each idle labo	new recruit: 2,500 payoff rate: 750	his period 10 per person 10 per person 10 per annum							
SUBCONTRACT LABOUR	R Additional cost for each subcontractor each period:									
ALL LABOUR	Each ineffective labour	er costs: <mark>22,500</mark> pe	per annum							
	Potention late completi	ion nonalty and oarly								

SUBCONTRACTORS

Subcontractors do not need to be trained, and are fully effective from day one.

However, they do incur an **additional subcontract premium each period** for each subcontractor used, shown in the **Industry parameters**, which varies between countries.



ld	Name	Subcontract cost	Australia is a country in the Southern Hemisphere comprising the mainland of the Australian	× ×
AUS	Australia	1,500	continent, the island of Tasmania and numerous smaller islands in the Indian and Pacific	ZIS * 2
CAN	Canada	1,500	Oceans.	* *
CHI	China	1,000		
FRA	France	1,200	The population is approximately 22.6 million, with approximately 60 per cent concentrated in	
IND	India	500	and around the mainland state capitals of Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane, Perth and Adelaide.	
IRQ	Iraq	500	The nation's capital city is Canberra.	
JAP	Japan	1,000		
LEB	Lebanon	500	A developed country, Australia is the world's thirteenth largest economy, and ranks highly in	
NZ	New Zealand	1,500	many international comparisons of national performance such as human development, quality of	
QAT	Qatar	1,000	life, health care, life expectancy, public education, economic freedom and the protection of civil	
SA	South Africa	750	liberties and political rights. Australia is a member of the United Nations, G20, Commonwealth	
SIN	Singapore	1,000	of Nations, ANZUS, OECD, APEC, Pacific Islands Forum and the World Trade Organization.	
SYR	Syria	500		
UAE	United Arab Emirates	1,000	Australia has a market economy with high GDP per capita and low rate of poverty. The	
UK	United Kingdom	1,200	Australian dollar is the currency for the nation. After the 2006 merger of the Australian Stock	
US	United States	1,500		
			Exchange and the Sydney Futures Exchange, the Australian Securities Exchange is now the inth largest in the world.	
			Sector of the economy, including tourism, education, and financial services,	

Since subcontractor costs vary between countries, the decision as to where to use them can have a significant affect upon company costs.

Consider the following different scenarios.

SCENARIO 1

If additional labour is needed on a 3-period job in the United States, **it is more cost effective to use 'new recruits'** (own labour) since the training cost of a new recruit is 2,500 as opposed to the potential cost of 4,500 (1,500 x 3) of using subcontractors for the planned duration of the job.

SCENARIO 2

If additional labour is needed on a 3-period job in Iraq, it is more cost effective to use subcontractors since the cost over 3 periods would be 1,500 (3 x 500) as opposed to 2,500 for a new recruit.

KEY POINTS

The above scenarios can change each period as labour surpluses or shortfalls arise e.g., in scenario 2 if own labour became available when another job finishes, this would be the cost effective option compared to using subcontractors.



🎲 M/	AKING Jo	b progression decisi	ions (Labour) for period 6 in the Ea	irly Years												
Chang	ge period	Key information Help	Þ														
		IDLE LABOUR PO	OL	START OF THE PERI	OD												
				Number in the idle p	oool: 22												
				Number to lag	yoff: 0												
				Number available for jobs in progr	ess: <mark>22</mark>												
				AFTER DECISIO	NS												
				Net trans	fers: -22												
Number left in the idle pool: 0																	
		JOBS IN PROGRE	SS														
		JOBS IN PROGRE	SS							wn Lab			Su	ibcont	ract L	abour	
		JOBS IN PROGRE				This pe			To sit	te Fi	om site						
Job	Country		SS Plan Dur	Remaining planned periods	Progress so far	This pe Status	riod Plan lab	Last	To sit	te Fi New		On site		Take	ract La Lay off	abour On site	Total
29	Country		Plan	Remaining planned periods OVERRUN by 1 periods	Progress so far Behind schedule		Plan lab Over	per 15	To sit From N	te Fi New I O	om site To Paid LP off 13 0	site	End	Take	Lay	On	_
		/ Sector	Plan Dur	Remaining planned periods	-	Status	Plan lab Over 19	per 15 57	To sit From N	te Fi New I O	om site To Paid LP off	site 2	End	Take on	Lay off	On	_
29 34 49	UK	/ Sector Transport	Plan Dur 2	OVERRUN by 1 periods	Behind schedule	Status 3rd period	Plan lab Over 19 17	per 15 57 14	To sit From N ILP 0 0	te Fi New I O	om site To Paid LP off 13 0 49 0 0 0	site 2 8 14	End	Take on 0	Lay off Û	On site 0 0	Total 2 8 14
29 34	UK UK	/ Sector Transport Energy	Plan Dur 2 3	OVERRUN by 1 periods FINAL planned period	Behind schedule Ahead of schedule	Status 3rd period 3rd period	Plan lab Over 19 17 158	per 15 57 14 138	To sit From M ILP 0	New I 0	om site To Paid LP off 13 0 49 0 0 0 0 0	site 2 8	End last 0	Take on 0 0	Lay off 0	On	Total 2 8 14 162
29 34 49 52 67	UK UK UK	/ Sector Transport Energy Water & Sewage	Plan Dur 2 3 2	OVERRUN by 1 periods FINAL planned period FINAL planned period	Behind schedule Ahead of schedule Ahead of schedule	Status 3rd period 3rd period 2nd period	Plan lab Over 19 17 158 15	per 15 57 14 138 11	To sit From ILP 0 0 0 0 4	te Fi New I 0 0 0 0 0 0	om site To Paid LP off 13 0 49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	site 2 8 14 138 15	End last 0 0	Take on 0	Lay off 0 0	On site 0 0	Total 2 8 14 162 15
29 34 49 52 67 74	UK UK UK UK	/ Sector Transport Energy Water & Sewage Transport	Plan Dur 2 3 2 3	OVERRUN by 1 periods FINAL planned period FINAL planned period 2 planned periods remaining	Behind schedule Ahead of schedule Ahead of schedule Ahead of schedule	Status 3rd period 3rd period 2nd period 2nd period	Plan lab Over 19 17 158 15 84	per 15 57 14 138	To sit From ILP 0 0 0 0 0 4 24	te Fi New I O O O O	om site To Paid LP off 13 0 49 0 0 0 0 0	site 2 8 14 138 15	End last 0 0 0	Take on 0 0 0 24	Lay off 0 0 0	On site 0 0	Total 2 8 14 162 15 85
29 34 49 52 67	UK UK UK UK SYR	/ Sector Transport Energy Water & Sewage Transport Energy	Plan Dur 2 3 2 3 3 3 4	Remaining planned periods OVERRUN by 1 periods FINAL planned period FINAL planned period 2 planned periods remaining 2 planned periods remaining	Behind schedule Ahead of schedule Ahead of schedule Ahead of schedule	Status 3rd period 3rd period 2nd period 2nd period 2nd period	Plan lab Over 19 17 158 15	per 15 57 14 138 11	To sit From ILP 0 0 0 0 4 24	te Fi New I 0 0 0 0 0 0	om site To Paid LP off 13 0 49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	site 2 8 14 138 15	End last 0 0 0	Take on 0 0 0 24 0	Lay off 0 0 0	On site 0 0	Total 2 8 14 162 15

Consider another example where there is an overall labour shortfall, and 24 subcontractors have been taken onto job 52 in the UK to cover the shortfall.

However, job 82 in Iraq is using 56 of all the company's own full trained labour from the Idle Labour Pool, and no subcontractors.

Are these wise decisions ?



SYR.

MEX

IRQ

Energy

Transport

Building & Commercial

Choosing between using Own or Subcontract Labour

🎲 M	AKING Jo	b progression decisi	ons (l	Labour) for period 6 in the Ea	rly Years												_ U ×
Chan	ge period	Key information Help)														
		IDLE LABOUR PO	OL	START OF THE PERI Number in the idle p													
				Number to lay	yoff: 0												
				Number available for jobs in progr	ess: <mark>22</mark>												
	AFTER DECISIONS Net transfers: -22																
				Number left in the idle p	ool: <mark>0</mark>												
		JOBS IN PROGRE	cel														
		JUBS IN FRUGRE	55						(Dwn La	bour			Subc	ontract	Labour	
						This pe	riod		To s	ite	From	site					
Job	Country	Sector	Plan Dur	Remaining planned periods	Progress so far	Status	Plan lab	Last per	From ILP	New	To ILP	Paid off	On site	End Ta last	ke Lay on off		
29	UK	Transport	2	OVERRUN by 1 periods	Behind schedule	3rd period	Over	15	0	0	13	0	2	0	0 0	J O	2
34	UK	Energy	3	FINAL planned period	Ahead of schedule	3rd period	19	57	0	0	49	0	8	0	0 0	-	
49	UK	Water & Sewage	2	FINAL planned period	Ahead of schedule	2nd period	17	14	0	0	0	0	14	0	0 0		
52	UK	Transport	3	2 planned periods remaining	Ahead of schedule	2nd period	158	138	0	0	0	0	138	0	24 0	24	162

2nd period

1st period

1st period

 Ahead of schedule

Subcontractors cost far less in Iraq than the UK (500 each period in Iraq compared to 1,200 in the UK), so it is **far more cost effective** to move labour around as follows :-

• Use 24 subcontract labourers on the job in Iraq, rather than labour from the Idle Labour Pool.

2 planned periods remaining

4 planned periods remaining

3 planned periods remaining

• Do not use any subcontractors on job 52 in the UK, but transfer 24 labourers from the Idle Labour Pool instead.

ld	Name	Subcontract cost each period
AUS	Australia	1,500
CAN	Canada	1,500
CHI	China	1,000
FRA	France	1,200
IND	India	500
IRQ	Iraq	500
JAP	Japan	1,000
LEB	Lebanon	500
MEX	Mexico	1,000
NZ	New Zealand	1,500
QAT	Qatar	500
SA	South Africa	750
SIN	Singapore	1,000
SYR	Syria	500
UAE	United Arab Emirates	750
UK	United Kingdom	1,200
US	United States	1,500



🎲 M/	AKING Jo	b progression decisi	ons (I	Labour) for period 6 in the Ea	irly Years															
Chang	ge period	Key information Help)																	
		IDLE LABOUR PO	OL	START OF THE PERI Number in the idle p																
				Number to la	yoff: 0															
	Number available for jobs in progress: 22																			
				AFTER DECISIO																
				Net trans	fers: -22															
				Number left in the idle p	oool: <mark>0</mark>															
		JOBS IN PROGRES	SS																	
						Own Labour									Subcontract Labour					
						This period To site From site														
Job	Country	Sector	Plan Dur	Remaining planned periods	Progress so far	Status	Plan lab	Last per	From ILP	New	To ILP	Paid off	On site	End last	Take on	Lay off	On site	Total		
29	UK	Transport	2	OVERRUN by 1 periods	Behind schedule	3rd period	Over	15	0	0	13	0	2	0	0	0	0	2		
34	UK	Energy	3	FINAL planned period	Ahead of schedule	3rd period	19	57	0	0	49	0	8	0	0	0	0	8		
49	UK	Water & Sewage	2	FINAL planned period	Ahead of schedule	2nd period	17	14	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	14		
52	UK	Transport	3	2 planned periods remaining	Ahead of schedule	2nd period	158	138	24	0	0	0	162	0	0	0	0	162		
67	SYR	Energy	3	2 planned periods remaining	Ahead of schedule	2nd period	15	11	4	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	162 15 85 56		
74	MEX	Transport	4	4 planned periods remaining		1st period	84	0		61	0	0	85	0		0	0	85		
82	IRQ	Building & Commercial	3	3 planned periods remaining		1st period	55	0	32	0	0	0	32	0	24	0	- 24	56		

Display details for job 82

The labour movements are made, and more cost effective decisions will reduce job costs, improving job and company profits.





Where there is an overall labour shortfall in the period, the decision as to whether to employ new recruits or use subcontractors is further complicated by the **limit on the number of new recruits** that can be taken on in a period, as defined in the **Industry parameters**. The limit can vary each period.



Comparing the training cost of new recruits versus subcontractor premium in a period, it would appear that subcontractors are the cheaper option. **However, it must be remembered that the new recruit training cost is a one-off cost, whereas subcontractor premiums have to be paid every period.**

The decision as to whether to employ new recruits or use subcontractors may come down to the jobs where they may be needed, and there are other **factors** that may also affect the company's strategy :-

- The limit on the number of new recruits that can be employed.
- The anticipated duration of a contract, which can affect how many periods subcontractors need to be employed for.
- The affect of using subcontractors on the morale of the company's own labour, which is covered in another demo.
- Eventually own labour may need to be paid off when work dries up, incurring additional labour payoff charges. The laying off of own labour may then impact on labour relations, affecting the morale and productivity of the workforce across the company.

It is certainly worth taking the time to determine the most cost-effective approach, as this can have a significant bearing on the **profitability** of the company.