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## Late Completion Penalty

## Late Completion Penalty

If the duration of a job exceeds its planned duration, the company is charged a penalty for each late period.

## Late Completion Penalty

| 物 Client information |  |  |  | Navigate to "Main menu/Industry information/Client information" |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | [ALLL] | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Procurement restrictions |  | Payment terms |  |  |
| Name | Base country | Type | Current relationship | From period | Minimum relationship required to continue bidding | Retention | Late completion penalty | Early completion bonus |
| AeroPower | United States | Private sector | No relationship |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Al Mahran Developments | United Arab Emirates | Private sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| American Steel Corporation | United States | Private sector | No relationship |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Amrail | United States | Public sector | No relationship |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| ANZ Water | NewZealand | Private sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% |
| Auckland City Developments | NewZealand | Private sector | No relationship |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Australian Sport Institute | Australia | Public sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Austhoads | Australia | Public sector | No relationship |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Beiling Development Group | China | Public sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% |
| Breeze Energy | United Kingdom | Private sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 1.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% |
| Cane and Beet Sugars | United Kingdom | Private sector | No relationship |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Chicago City Developments | United States | Public sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Crawford Petrochemicals UK | United Kingdom | Private sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 1.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.9\% |
| Dales Water Services | United Kingdom | Public sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% |
| Devon and Cornwall Water | United Kingdom | Public sector | No relationship |  | no restriction | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% |
| Doha Development Group | Qatar | Private sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 1. | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Dubai Holdings Plc | United Arab Emirates | Private sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | . $0 \%$ | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| East Coast Rebuild | United States | Public sector | No relationship |  | no restriction | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| East Midlands County Council | United Kingdom | Public sector | satisfactory |  | no restriction | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Electragen | United Kingdom | Public sector | No relationship |  | no rest | 1.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% |
| Emirates Energy | United Arab Emirates | Private sector | satisfactory |  | r | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.9\% |
| English Waterways | United Kingdom | Public sector | satisfactory |  |  | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Fenlands County Council | United Kingdom | Public sector | poor |  | an | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% |
| FIFA. North America 2026 | United States | Public sector | failly good |  | .lction | 1.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% |
| First Windllsa | 11 nitart States | Priblir sertor | satisfartorn |  | estriction | 14\% | 15\% | 78\% |
| AEROPOWER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AeroPower is a US-based aerospace manufacturer with global service operations military aviation. Its headquarters are in Houston, Texas. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| completion penalties vary by client, and are expressed as a percentage of tender value (bid entered) for the job. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| the variation by client of late completion penalties can affect the company's keting strategy in deciding upon which sectors/jobs to target. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Late Completion Penalty

| ALL JOBS |
| :--- |
| Summary <br> Risk analysis (Cost) <br> Risk analysis (Delays] <br> Retention analysis |

## JOBS WON

## TERMINOLOGY

[Completion Ratio] Measure of how efficiently a job is completed in its final period based upon the labour allocated ( $0=$ worse possible; 1 is perfect)
Retention analusis

|  | Completion details |  |  | Progress details |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Status | In period | Schedule | Ratio | Periods Run | Cost incurred | Profit made | Profit \% of cost |
| Completed early | 4 | Early | 0.97 | 2 | 9,185,998 | 686,861 | 7.5\% |
| Completed on time | 4 | On Time | 0.96 | 2 | 2,099,767 | 67,147 | 3.2\% |
| Completed late | 6 | Late | 0.37 | 3 | 1,332,505 | -27,741 | -2.1\% |
| Completed on time | 5 | On Time | 0.96 | 2 | 5,768,797 | 36,079 | 0.6\% |
| Completed on time | 6 | On Time | 0.94 | 3 | 5,240,331 | 210,539 | 4.0\% |
| Completed on time | 6 | On Time | 0.98 | 2 | 1,624,010 | 72,299 | 4.5\% |
| Completed on time | 7 | On Time | 0.93 | 3 | 7,310,838 | 422,006 | 5.8\% |
| Completed on time | 7 | On Time | 0.81 | 3 | 2,592,765 | 98,570 | 3.8\% |
| Completed late | 8 | Late | 0.37 | 3 | 4,979,173 | 40,220 | 0.8\% |
| Completed on time | 8 | On Time | 0.92 | 3 | 9,520,311 | 458,609 | 4.8\% |
| In progress |  |  |  | 2 | 8,716,389 | 732,417 | 8.4\% |
| In progress |  |  |  | 2 | 4,244,370 | 282,480 | 6.7\% |
| Completed on time | 8 | On Time | 0.96 | 2 | 2,096,243 | 76,554 | 3.7\% |
| In progress |  |  |  | 1 | 3,104,954 | -51,329 | -1.7\% |
| In progress |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In progress |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Display details for iob 29

## Consider the following example.

A number of jobs have been completed, and 2 have completed late, jobs 29 and 75 , which will have incurred a late completion penalty in the period(s) they overran.

The client for job 29 is the HS Rail Connection Group, who impose a $1.4 \%$ penalty for late completion.
We can use the Display details for job 29 to investigate this further.

## Late Completion Penalty

|  |  |  |  |  | JOB SUMMARY JOB PROGRESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job progression |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Profit analysis |  |  |  |
| Planned schedule |  |  | Actual progress |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | By period |  | Cumulative |  |
| Job period | Planned labour | Cumul \% complete | Period | Status | Actual labour | Ineffect due to delays | Ineffect due to overman | Effective labour | Actual \% complete | Completion status | Profit | Profit \% of cost | Cumul profit | Cumul profit \% of cost |
| 1 | 14 | 40\% | 4 | Past | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 58.41 \% | Ahead of schedule | 21,894 | 3.0\% | 21,894 | 3.0\% |
| 2 | 21 | 100\% | 5 | Past | 15 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 97.84\% | Behind schedule | 2.048 | 0.4\% | 23,942 | 1.9\% |
|  |  |  | 6 | Past | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 100\% | Completed late | -51,683 | -64.7\% | -27,741 | -2.1\% |

Total planned labour needed to complete the job is 35 .

PERIOD 6
CLICK ON A LINE IN THE THE SUMMARY ABOVE TO SHOW THE DETAILS FOR EACH PERIOD THE JOB HAS BEEN PROGRESSED


[^0]
[^0]:    The late completion bonus paid was $1.4 \%$ of the bid value of $1,304,764$, or 18,267 .

