## ENGINUITY TUTORIAL



Finishing Jobs Correctly

There are 3 scenarios possible for completing a job :-

- A job completes early i.e., at least one period before its planned duration e.g., completing a 5 -period job in 4 periods, 4 -period job in 3 periods etc
- A job completes on schedule in its planned duration
- A job overruns and completes late

No matter which scenario applies, in the period a job is completed it is essential to try and complete it as efficiently and profitably as possible.

## Finishing Jobs Correctly

## 5 MAKING Job progression decisions (Labour) for period 5 in the Early Years

Change period Key information Help

| IDLE LABOUR POOL | START OF THE PERIOD <br> Number in the idle pool: | 132 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number to layoff: | 0 |
|  | Number available for jobs in progress: | 132 |
|  | AFTER DECISIONS <br> Net transfers: | 0 |
|  | Number left in the idle pool: | 132 |


| JOBS IN PROGRESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Own Labour |  |  |  |  |  | Subcontract Labour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | This period |  |  | To site |  | From site |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { On } \\ \text { site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { last } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Take } \\ \text { on } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lay } \\ \text { off } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { On } \\ \text { site } \end{array}$ | Total |
| Job | Country | Sector | Plan Dur | Remaining planned periods | Progress so far | Status | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plan } \\ \text { lab } \end{gathered}$ | Last per | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { ILP } \end{gathered}$ | New | $\begin{array}{r} \text { To } \\ \text { ILP } \end{array}$ | Paid off |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | UK | Transport | 2 | FINAL planned period | Ahead of schedule | 2nd period | 21 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 32 | US | Building \& Commercial | 2 | FINALL planned period | Ahead of schedule | 2nd period | 102 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 70 | 0 | 0 |  | 92 |
| 34 | UK | Energy | 3 | 2 planned periods remaining | Ahead of schedule | 2nd period | 48 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 34 |
| 49 | UK | Water \& Sewage | 2 | 2 planned periods remaining |  | 1st period | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | $J$ | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | UK | Transport | 3 | 3 planned periods remaining |  | 1st period | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ก |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 67 | SYR | Energy | 3 | 3 planned periods remaining |  | 1st period | 9 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Consider the following example.
Period 5 is the second period of job 29, and its FINAL planned period. There are currently 20 labourers on site, all are the company's own labour, and the planned requirement is 21.
Normally, allocating the planned level each period is sufficient to complete a job on time, providing a good project manager has been allocated to oversee the job, and all the labour is fully effective. However, since this is the final planned period of the job we should take a closer look at how the job has progressed to date, since other factors may have contributed to the job being behind or ahead of schedule, and we need to allocate sufficient labour to complete the job as efficiently as possible.
Due to a number of factors the job may be behind/ahead of schedule, and require more/less labour than planned.
We can use the Display details for job 29 option to investigate further.

## Finishing Jobs Correctly



The Job progress for the job shows that the job was $58.41 \%$ complete at the end of the last period, and ahead of the planned schedule of $40 \%$. There is just $\mathbf{4 1 . 5 9 \%}$ of the job left to complete.

The total planned labour required to complete the job is 35 man periods. Since there is $41.59 \%$ of the job left to complete, in manpower terms this is $41.59 \%$ of the total labour of 35 , or 14.56 labourers.
14.56 labourers should be sufficient for the job to complete, BUT there is a key factor that could prevent this from happening, and that is delays caused by risks striking.

Risks only strike within the planned duration of a job, so risk delays DO NOT need to be considered if a job has over run, and will complete late.

To determine if any risks may delay job the job in its final period we can use the Risk analysis option at the top of the screen.

## KEY POINTS

There is no need to make an adjustment for risk delays until the period in which the job is likely to finish, as there is time to compensate for delays in earlier periods before a job finishes.

## Finishing Jobs Correctly

| 等 Ris | $k$ analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COST ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  | DELAY ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Job details |  |  |  |  | Risk details |  |  | Risk status |  | Delays |  |
| Job | Status | In | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BIM } \\ & \text { job } \end{aligned}$ | Sector | Risk description | Chance | Expected labour reduction | Struck | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { period } \end{gathered}$ | Affect of Invest | Actual labour reduction |
| 29 | In progress | UK | No | TRA | Stakeholders not working together | Low | 2.4\% | No |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Service/utility clashes | Low | 7.4\% | No |  |  |  |
| RISK | Likelihood | Cha | nce it | hits | Personnel issues | High | 2.4\% | No |  |  |  |
|  | High |  | to 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Medium |  | to 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low |  | to 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Risk analysis for job 29 reveals that there are 3 risks that have not yet struck, and which could delay the job if they were to strike, the delay causing a reduction in the labour on site :-

- 'Stakeholders not working together', which has a 'low' chance of occurring, and an expected labour reduction of 2.4\%
- 'Service/utility clashes', which has a 'low' chance of occurring, and an expected labour reduction of 7.4\%
- 'Personnel issues', which has a 'high' chance of occurring, and an expected labour reduction of 2.4\%

The Industry parameters show the chance a risk may strike for each likelihood level.
Although all the risks could strike, and potentially delay the job, the threat of 'Personnel issues' is most likely, and the Construction Manager decides to take action in case this happens.

Since a $2.4 \%$ delay is expected, the required labour level of 14.56 is adjusted in case of the $2.4 \%$ delay, giving a revised labour level of 14.92 labourers ( 14.56 / 0.976 ). Since we cannot have fractions of people, the labour level is adjusted upwards to 15 labourers.

## KEY POINTS

Targeted investments on the Financial Decisions Screen into risk management companies can reduce the delays caused when risks strike, and reduce the amount of additional labour added to compensate for potential delays.

## Finishing Jobs Correctly

## 烈 MAKING Job progression decisions (Labour) for period 5 in the Early Years

Change period Key information Help

| IDLE LABOUR POOL | START OF THE PERIOD <br> Number in the idle pool: | 132 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number to layoff: | 0 |
|  | Number available for jobs in progress: | 132 |
|  | AFTER DECISIONS <br> Net transfers: | 5 |
|  | Number left in the idle pool: | 137 |


| JOBS IN PROGRESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Own Labour |  |  |  |  |  | Subcontract Labour |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | This period |  | To site |  |  | From site |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { On } \\ \text { site } \end{array}$ | End last | Take on | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lay } \\ \text { off } \end{gathered}$ | On site | Total |
| Job | Country | Sector | Plan Dur | Remaining planned periods | Progress so far | Status | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Plan } \\ \text { lab } \end{array}$ | Last per | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From } \\ \text { ILP } \end{array}$ | New | $\begin{array}{r} \text { To } \\ \text { ILP } \end{array}$ | Paid off |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | UK | Transport | 2 | FINAL planned period | Ahead of schedule | 2nd period | 21 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 32 | US | Building \& Commercial | 2 | FINAL planned period | Ahead of schedule | 2nd period | 102 | 22 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 22 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 92 |
| 34 | UK | Energy | 3 | 2 planned periods remaining | Ahead of schedule | 2nd period | 48 | 34 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 49 | UK | Water \& Sewage | 2 | 2 planned periods remaining |  | 1st period | 11 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | UK | Transport | 3 | 3 planned periods remaining |  | 1st period | 95 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 67 | SYR | Energy | 3 | 3 planned periods remaining |  | 1st period | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

We have now determined that $\mathbf{1 5}$ labourers should be enough on job 29 to complete it as efficiently as possible in period 5 .
The planned allocation is 21 labourers, which although guaranteeing to complete the job, would complete the job too early in the period, which would have had the following detrimental affects :-

- Labour is still retained until the end of the period, incurring additional labour costs (ineffective labour)
- Labour could be utilised on other jobs, where it may be more productively used
- Site cost still has to be paid for ineffective labour

