


Auckland University Competition 2018


COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF TEAMS REPORT

		FINAN	NCE	OVERHEADS							ROCUI	REMEN	T	JOB PROGRESSION			THE FUTURE		
	No. of periods	% chg in	% chg in	% Market Share (By Sector)				No. Jobs	No.		% Bid Sucess Rate	Profitability (% of Job Costs)							
N. N.	in	Comp Value	Share Price					Bid For	Jobs Won			Job Duo Sit	O/Head	Oper	F/wd	F/wd			
No. Name	charge				IND	B&C	TRA							Profit	Costs	Profit	W/load	Margin	
1 ICE Inc	8	64	69	32	18	55	21	3	2	29	20	9	69	5.4	1.3	3.4	35.3m	2.4%	
2 Taiao Construction	8	51	79	31	6	37	34	15	8	36	18	18	50	6.0	1.6	3.6	27.4m	2.7%	
3 T-Rex	8	25	19	26	11	50	10	5	23	24	21	3	88	3.9	1.5	2.0	32.6m	2.6%	
4 Big Builder Brand (BBB)5 Urban Construction	8	18	43	28	5	50	29	0	15	37	13	24	35	5.0	1.9	2.5	27.4m	2.9% 2.6%	
6 MMP Consultancy	8	56	130 -9	28 20	12	52 52	16 23	13	7	26	23	3	88	5.5 3.0	1.1	3.7	33.6m 26.4m	2.7%	
7 CEA Limited	8			23	12	39		10	3	26	17	25	65			1.3		3.1%	
8 Future	8	42 26	57	17	0	39 46	4 21	30 13	26 9	42 23	17	25 6	40 74	5.0	1.6 1.1	2.8	36.1m 31.4m	2.9%	
9 NASH Ltd		36	26	20	12 14	40		3		28	17	7	75	3.9 4.7		2.3	23.5m	2.4%	
10 Cayman Consortium	8	41	60 4	17	15	37	27 24	2	16 21	24	21 16	8	67	4.7	1.4	2.5	23.3III 31.7m	2.4%	
11 KHLMP	8	55	123	32	10	48	18	13	11	24	22	2	92	5.0	1.3	3.1	39.6m	2.7%	
12 Simple Medium Ltd	8	24	39	30	0	32	33	34	0	22	18	4	82	5.0	1.8	2.7	34.3m	2.6%	
13 Wrights	8	-17	-58	24	2	48	36	13	1	42	8	34	19	3.1	2.7	0.1	0.9m	3.0%	
14 INZ Infrastructures	8	34	32	19	9	50	17	10	14	28	18	10	64	5.1	1.7	2.8	20.7m	2.7%	
15 C- Consulting	8	-12	-59	21	14	50	29	8	0	15	12	3	80	2.0	1.7	0.3	3.2m	2.776	
16 Gumption & Co	8	32	27	28	12	35	26	15	13	16	16	0	100	4.0	1.1	2.4	31.8m	2.2%	
17 Head to Head Limited	8	61	103	22	21	36	35	0	8	33	23	10	70	5.6	1.1	3.6	38.2m	2.6%	
18 Black Forrest	8	21	13	20	9	50	20	13	9	23	15	8	65	5.4	2.2	2.7	13.2m	2.9%	
19 Millennial Falcons	8	15	- 6	14	8	38	30	16	8	35	17	18	49	4.1	1.4	2.2	28.4m	3.0%	
20 Jianting Ma State Construction		0	-6	27	9	46	17	20	8	16	13	3	81	3.2	1.5	1.2	29.0m	2.6%	
21 Civilised Corp	8	38	57	28	15	46	22	11	6	41	17	24	41	5.0	1.5	2.9	14.4m	2.9%	
22 Enggen Failure	8	32	50	16	21	38	26	15	0	28	19	9	68	4.5	1.3	2.7	31.6m	2.7%	
22 Linggen i andre	O	32	50	10	21	50	20	13	U	20	1)	,	00	7.3	1.2	2.1	51.0111	2.770	

22 North Chana Contractors	0	2.1	(0	26	12	(0	1.6	1	11	21	20	11	(5	5.7	1.0	2.1	21 4	2.70/
North Shore Contractors	8	31	68	26	12	60	16	1	11	31	20	11	65	5.7	1.8	3.1	31.4m	2.7%
24 Tradies of the future	8	60	79	26	26	45	11	0	19	23	15	8	65	6.0	1.4	3.8	19.5m	2.8%
25 BEWT Consultants	8	33	46	31	5	44	25	7	20	31	16	15	52	5.4	1.9	2.9	19.9m	2.2%
26 Wong & Co	8	17	4	29	0	48	37	14	0	31	18	13	58	4.4	2.1	1.9	30.4m	2.7%
27 Cryptos	8	66	200	30	29	65	6	0	0	26	22	4	85	6.8	1.6	4.3	36.3m	2.7%
28 Shocker Inc	8	28	87	26	12	45	32	10	1	27	19	8	70	5.3	1.5	3.1	26.6m	2.8%
29 Samir Keshvara	8	9	15	25	5	46	38	4	7	29	18	11	62	3.8	1.5	1.8	23.0m	2.9%
30 JAME Construction Limited	8	30	35	29	20	44	13	0	23	25	20	5	80	4.2	1.3	2.4	29.2m	2.4%
31 Night Owl	8	21	19	31	9	46	26	9	10	45	16	29	36	4.3	1.9	2.0	24.1m	2.7%
32 Seven Oceans Construction	8	38	46	30	13	44	14	8	21	22	17	5	77	5.2	1.8	2.7	38.7m	2.6%
33 The Future Consultants	8	11	-38	17	4	51	38	2	6	23	17	6	74	3.0	1.3	1.4	23.4m	2.5%
34 Skatr Boi	8	12	52	22	20	40	5	23	13	58	19	39	33	5.1	1.9	2.6	30.1m	3.0%
35 Engenuity without Boarders	8	54	47	24	1	63	26	3	8	41	20	21	49	5.2	1.4	3.2	30.8m	2.6%
36 M.A.N.L.Y Consultancy	8	26	20	31	19	35	22	16	8	25	20	5	80	5.5	2.4	2.4	13.0m	2.7%
37 CIVIL UP	8	17	-35	24	11	42	38	5	4	15	13	2	87	4.1	1.9	1.7	14.7m	2.8%
38 Zenith	8	47	76	25	28	52	4	2	14	21	18	3	86	4.9	1.2	3.0	32.3m	2.6%
39 Six Sigma	8	28	49	26	3	46	38	5	9	27	17	10	63	4.4	1.4	2.5	30.6m	2.8%
40 Unluggy Uce Ltd	8	31	17	27	22	38	19	3	18	28	23	5	82	4.5	1.5	2.5	34.5m	2.1%
41 Goldman Sacks	8	39	70	27	25	47	4	0	24	28	20	8	71	4.4	1.1	2.7	39.6m	2.9%
42 Wednesday	8	21	2	29	11	40	20	13	17	35	21	14	60	4.0	1.6	2.0	33.5m	2.6%
43 Dominators	8	6	-14	24	6	59	24	7	4	19	13	6	68	4.2	2.1	1.6	19.0m	2.8%
44 Clarence Consultants	8	16	19	26	11	51	24	6	8	27	19	8	70	3.5	1.2	1.9	34.7m	2.9%
45 Trump Administration	8	12	9	28	11	39	37	6	7	36	16	20	44	4.0	1.7	1.9	35.5m	2.7%
46 Palms Springs Ltd	8	44	85	21	9	39	33	6	14	22	17	5	77	5.0	1.1	3.2	31.3m	2.6%
47 G.JAM	8	42	95	22	27	35	30	4	4	24	16	8	67	6.1	1.7	3.7	31.6m	2.4%
48 Neam Tame	8	39	58	30	2	37	28	26	6	32	21	11	66	4.8	1.5	2.8	35.4m	2.9%
49 ARSV Constructors	8	4	10	21	16	38	25	16	4	14	10	4	71	4.9	2.4	2.0	13.3m	2.4%
																		




Increasing the value of the business is the prime objective of the management team.




Identifying and securing new work ensures that the turnover (monies earned) across all jobs progressed is healthy.


PROFITABILITY




Managing jobs as profitably as possible, and keeping overhead costs under control, are both key drivers in ensuring that the company's operating profit is as healthy as possible. This ultimately feeds into the cash account, and affects company value.



Expanding the company's capital base (infrastructure) enables more work to be underaken, and potentially more growth.




The Capital Employed measures how much of the company's capital base (infrastructure) is being utilised through winning and progressing work.




The company's share price is one of the key performance indicators in measuring the success or failure of the company, with a rising share price signifying increasing industry confidence in the fortunes of the company.

The share price is influenced by the level of dividend paid to the shareholders, the value of the company, the future profitability of the company and the debt burden (gearing ratio) of the company.





The level of dividend paid to shareholders should be in line with what the company can afford, and not exceed the operating profits of the business.




Developing an effective marketing strategy, and identifying new work in different sectors and locations (UK/Overseas) is critical to enable the business to grow by securing jobs through competitive bidding.

MARKET SHARE SPLIT (BY SECTOR)





OVERHEAD STAFFING





Efficient overhead management has a significant impact on operating profitability.

100% is the required level. Anything lower indicates understaffing, with an adverse affect on costs (Head Office and QHSE) or value (Measurement).












Every job that is bid for incurs a bidding cost putting the tender together, which increases overhead costs.

High bidding success keeps bidding costs to a minimum.






JOB COMPLETION



Early job completion earns a bonus from the client, frees off resources, and improves client relationship. Conversely, late completion incurs a penalty charge, and can significantly damage client relationship.






Completing jobs as efficiently as possible in terms of labour resourcing is key to maximising job profits.


The efficiency rating is from 0 to 1, where 1 is the optimum level.



TARGETED INVESTMENTS



PROJECT MANAGER (PERFORMANCE)




A project manager with well-matched experience for a particular type of job will handle available resources more

efficiently, whilst a project manager with inappropriate experience will impair contract efficiency.


Project managers are weighted from 1 (Poor) to 10 (The best possble).

SUBCONTRACTOR USAGE




Subcontractor costs vary from country to country.


Excessive use of subcontractors can have an adverse affect on the morale of the company's own labour.



Paying a bonus to project managers not only prevents them from resigning, but can improve their productivity, with a potential net monetary gain (job profit).



As well as past performance, the future outlook in terms of forward workload is key to the future prosperity of the business.



Forward workload needs to be profitable, and the forward margin measures this.

CLIENT RELATIONSHIPS

